





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,762 07/23/2001		Roland Schule	SCH-1700 DI	2957
23599	7590 12/31/2002			
	HITE, ZELANO & B	EXAMINER		
2200 CLARE SUITE 1400	NDON BLVD.	MURPHY, JOSEPH F		
ARLINGTON	. VA 22201			
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	3
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ()	Application	1 No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary								
		narv	09/909,762	<u> </u>	SCHULE ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		communication ann	Joseph F M		orrespondence address			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh t with the correspondence address Feriod for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2002.								
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	This action is FINAL .							
3)□	, -							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are object	ed to.						
	Claim(s) are subject t	to restriction and/o	r election re	quirement.				
-	on Papers							
·	he specification is objected	•						
10)∐ Т	he drawing(s) filed on			•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
11)[1					oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO	,			/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-9 in Paper No. 7, 7/11/2001 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that an examination of all the groups imposes no serious burden on the PTO. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are distinct as noted in the last Office Action, as shown by the distinctness described therein. Applicant's attention is directed to MPEP 806.05. A search of the prior art in regard to group I will reveal whether any prior art exists as to the other Groups, a search is directed to references which would render the invention obvious, as well as references directed to anticipation of the invention, and therefore requires a search of relevant literature in many different areas of subject matter. Claims 10-12 are withdrawn from consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 1-9 are under consideration.

Specification

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973). On page 1, lines 1-3 of the instant Specification, Applicant's attempt to incorporate by reference the subject

Art Unit: 1646

matter of a cited scientific paper (Madgwick et al. (1996) which sets forth the sequence of the SLIM3 protein. However, this document encompasses essential matter, and the sequence of the SLIM3 polypeptide is essential for the practice of a method which makes use of the SLIM3 polypeptide. Therefore, the above cited document encompasses essential subject matter, which cannot be incorporated by reference to publications (See MPEP 608.01(p)). Clearly, the amino acid sequence of the SLIM3 polypeptide is necessary to practice the claimed method.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 first paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of identifying agents that regulate the transcriptional activating domain of human AR and human SLIM3, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of identifying agents that regulate the transcriptional activating domain of human AR and biologically active fragments of human SLIM3. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 1-9 are overly broad in the recitation of "biologically active derivatives" since insufficient guidance is provided as to which of the myriad of polypeptide species encompassed by the claim will retain the characteristics of SLIM3. The specification (page 11, line 29 to page

Art Unit: 1646

12 line 6) defines SLIM3 derivatives are determined in that the function according to the examples of the SLIM3 that is described in the literature is compared to the modification.

Applicants disclose that fragments of the polypeptide may be splice variants, and fragments resulting from in vitro protease activity, without disclosing any actual or prophetic examples on expected performance parameters of any of the possible muteins of SLIM3. It is known in the art that even single amino acid changes or differences in the amino acid sequence of a protein can have dramatic effects on the protein's function. It is also known in the art that a single amino acid change in a protein's sequence can drastically affect the structure of the protein and the architecture of an entire cell. For example, Voet et al. (1990) teaches that a single Glu to Val substitution in the beta subunit of hemoglobin causes the hemoglobin molecules to associate with one another in such a manner that, in homozygous individuals, erythrocytes are altered from their normal discoid shape and assume the sickle shape characteristic of sickle-cell anemia, causing hemolytic anemia and blood flow blockages (pages 126-128, section 6-3A and page 230, column 2, first paragraph).

There is insufficient guidance provided in the instant specification as to how one of skill in the art would generate a SLIM3 polypeptide other than those exemplified in the specification. See In re Wands, 858 F.2d at 737, 8 USPQ2d at 1404. The test of enablement is not whether any experimentation is necessary, but whether, if experimentation is necessary, it is undue.

The factors considered to be relevant in the instant case are set forth below:

(1) the breadth of the claims - The claims are drawn to a method of identifying agents that regulate the transcriptional activating domain of human AR and biologically active fragments of human SLIM3.

Art Unit: 1646

- (2) the nature of the invention The instant invention is a method of compound identification.
- (3) the state of the prior art The Voet reference demonstrates that even single amino acid changes or differences in the amino acid sequence of a protein can have dramatic effects on the protein's function.
- (5) the level of predictability in the art The Voet reference demonstrates the unpredictability of the protein art.
- (6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor Applicant has only taught methods using SLIM-3, not biologically active derivatives of SLIM3.
- (7) the existence of working examples Working examples are provided only for one SLIM-3, not biologically active derivatives of SLIM3.
- (8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. Given the breadth of claims 1-9 in light of the predictability of the art as determined by the number of working examples, the level of skill of the artisan, and the guidance provided in the instant specification and the prior art of record, it would require undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite in the recitation of the term "biologically active". The term "biologically active" is not defined by the claim, but give no definition of what this activity is. Various biological activities can be attributed to a peptide. For example, "activity" could constitute transportation throughout a cell, alteration of tertiary structure due to changes in pH, ligand binding, or modulation of second messenger effect, etc. 'Activity' could also be referring to the ability of the fragment to stimulate antibody production.

Claims 1-9 are vague and indefinite in the recitation of the terms "AR", "SLIM3" and "ERB". There is no definition within the claim to define the protein to which these acronyms refer. Thus, the metes and bounds of these claims cannot be determined

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,789,170 (Chang et al.). U.S. Patent No. 5,789,170 has a priority date of May 23, 1996.

U.S. Patent no. 5, 789, 170 discloses the cloning and expression of a co-activator of human androgen receptor, ARA70 (column 2, lines 6-16). Based on the limitation "biologically active derivative" in claim 1, ARA 70 can be considered a biologically active derivative of SLIM3. U.S. Patent No. 5, 789,170 also discloses methods of screening for ligands which regulate transcriptional activity of androgen receptor in the presence of ARA70, (column 6, lines 3-15) in the yeast two-hybrid system, using AR-GAL4 binding domain fusion constructs (column 4, lines 23-35). Thus claims 1-9 are anticipated.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Murphy whose telephone number is 703-305-7245. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 703-308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1646

December 18, 2002